ORIGINAL





LAW OFFICES

601 W. Bannock Street PO Box 2720, Boise, Idaho 83701 TELEPHONE: 208 388-1200 FACSIMILE: 208 388-1300 WEBSITE: www.givenspursley.com

CONLEY E. WARD Direct Dial: 208-388-1219 E-Mail: cew@givenspursley.com

GIVENS

Gary G. Allen Christopher J. Beeson Jessica M. Borup William C. Cole Michael C. Creamer Thomas E. Dvorak Roy Lewis Eiguren Timothy P. Fearnside Jeffrey C. Fereday Steven J. Hippler Karl T. Klein Debora K. Kristensen Anne C. Kunkei Franklin G. Lee

David R. Lombardi D. David Lorello, Jr. Emily A. MacMaster Kimberly D. Maloney John M. Marshall Kenneth R. McClure Kelly Greene McConnell Cynthia A. Melillo Christopher H. Meyer L. Edward Miller Patrick J. Miller Judson B. Montgomery Angela K. Nelson Deborah E. Nelson

W. Hugh O'Riordan, LLM Michael C. Orr Kenneth L. Pursley Bradley V. Sneed H. Barton Thomas, LLM Conley E. Ward Robert B. White Michael V. Woodhouse

James A. McClure OF COUNSEL

Raymond D. Givens RETIRED

> J m

February 27, 2004

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

ACC Docket No. T-02532A-03-0017

GP File No. 1614-64

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed for filing, please find an original plus 14 copies of the following documents: a statement of Intrastate Access Minutes prepared in response to Staff's Fourth Set of Data Requests, the results of, and customer comments from, a poll of Midvale customers regarding the desirability of Extended Area Service, and a Docket Control cover sheet.

Please acknowledge receipt by returning a conformed copy of the documents to our office. A self-addressed, stamped envelope is enclosed for your mailing convenience.

Sincerely yours,

Conley E. Ward

CEW/hcm **Enclosures**

cc:

Karen Ellison

Gary H. Horton Richard Boyles

S:\CLIENTS\1614\64\CEW to Docket Control enc Rsp to Staff 4th Data Req.DOC

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAR - 1 2004

DOCKETED BY



Attachment 4-1 A: Intrastate Access Minutes

			9	ECEIVED
	Originating	Terminating*	Total	
Feb-03	04 440	74.050	400.42001	MAR -1 P 1: 12
Young, Cascabel	91,113	71,359	162,4/084	MAN - I I
Mar-03			A =7	CORP COMMISSION
Young, Cascabel	86,748	66,252	153,000	CORP COMMISSION CUMENT CONTROL
Apr-03				
Young, Cascabel	82,458	59,300	141,758	T-02532A-03-0017
Granite Mountain	0	0	0	
May-03				
Young, Cascabel	91,108	62,470	153,578	
Granite Mountain	19,212	. 0	19,212	
lum 02				
Jun-03 Young, Cascabel	83,435	61,495	144,930	
Granite Mountain	35,562	0	35,562	
Jul-03 Young, Cascabel	94,863	58,383	153,246	
Granite Mountain	37,773	00,309	37,773	
			•	
Aug-03	02.020	52.400	452 440	
Young, Cascabel Granite, Silverbell	93,836 35,366	53,109 1,200	153,448 36,566	
Granic, Gironson	00,000	1,200	00,000	
Sep-03	22.442	07.504	450.050	
Young, Cascabel Granite, Silverbell	86,149 39,831	67,501 1,670	153,650 41,501	
Granite, Silverbeil	39,031	1,070	41,501	
Oct-03				
Young, Cascabel	88,141	72,849	160,990	
Granite, Silverbell	45,441	2,151	47,592	
Nov-03				
Young, Cascabel	83,759	73,923	157,682	
Granite, Silver, Millsite	44,059	2,250	46,309	Arizona Corporation Commission
Dec-03				DOCKETED
Young, Cascabel	78,333	70,486	148,819	MAR - 1 2004
Granite, Silver, Millsite	55,455	2,247	57,702	MAR = 1 2004
Jan-04				DOCKETED BY
Young, Cascabel	70,414	67,991	138,405	0"
Granite, Silver, Millsit	67,950	2,466	70,416	Beautiful transported to the second of the s
Feb-04				
Young, Cascabel	69,448	38,520	107,968	
Granite, Silver, Millsite		2,757	72,753	

^{*} Terminating minutes are not recorded by MTE; these are what Qwest reports.

^{**} Missing data; the total substitutes the average of the months before and after.

Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc.

Responses to Poll for Local Calling

	Support Local Calling	Oppose Local Calling
Crossroads	78	7
Long Meadow	15	5
Henderson Valley	35	5
Millsite	9	2
Mountain Pine Acres	29	12
Potato Patch	48	25
Poquito Valley &		
Antelope Meadows	63	18
Other or Unknown	4	1

Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc.

Written Comments from EAS Poll

Larry F Shepard - voted support - but do not want to pay for it.

Richard G Rogers - voted support - if surcharge isn't too high.

Kelly Indicavitch - voted support - I don't think anyone should be charged a surcharge, not even Midvale.

Georgia M Larson - We would appreciate EAS but we are not interested in paying an extra \$9 - \$13 per month. We have very good service with a cell phone.

Patsy Miller - I don't support the surcharge our bills are high enough now. I don't even get to use the phone.

Ray Beckage - We are willing to support telephones in the area. Will sign off on charges when plan is unveiled.

R Smith - I support with out the surcharges.

John S Reichert - I will go with the majority in Mtn. Pine Acres

Angela Tominson - I support it, however, may not agree to sign up for it at this time. Unable to determine.

Robert Michel - As long as the surcharge is not passed on.

Pat Johnson - I do not plan on using the service at present time.

Lynn Hughes- I don't think a surcharge is appropriate.

Kris Packard - We do not even have phone service out here. If you provide one you will have a lot of new customers!!

Chris Dowland - Phones very much needed in our area!

Jeanne Hallquist - I support local calling WITH OUT a surcharge.

I support ONLY if persons using these services are charged. Not owners of empty land.

We do not or have no future plans to reside on this property, therefore I would not want to answer these ?'s.

I need to know how this will impact the current status.

Since we don not live here I can not comment.

Not enough information to make a choice.

We have 2 Alltell cell phones - both are a percentage of land lines and cell phones are portable!

Susan K. Baxter – surcharge is excessive – areas noted are considered to be part of Prescott therefore, a long distance charge is unsupported.

Dennis Hawxby – I would support this if there was a guarantee in writing "no surcharge ever!" \$9 to \$13 is a rip off. Don't you have any pull with Qwest?

Jennifer L. Stephenson – There is not enough information here to determine.

John and PJ Shannon – No phone lines exist.

Patricia Ann Evans – I do not support such a service until service is provided to all Antelope Meadows residents. Why and When can we expect service to our area?